

NOV 4 1981

624-B

I 19.42/4 : 81-41

COMPLETED

51

ORIGINAL

LIMNOLOGICAL AND GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY OF WILLIAMS LAKE, HUBBARD COUNTY, MINNESOTA



U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER-RESOURCES INVESTIGATIONS 81-41

Prepared in cooperation with the
Minnesota Department of Natural Resources



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		1. REPORT NO.	2.	3. Recipient's Accession No.	
4. Title and Subtitle LIMNOLOGICAL AND GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY OF WILLIAMS LAKE HUBBARD COUNTY, MINNESOTA				5. Report Date September 1981	
7. Author(s) J. W. LaBaugh, G. E. Groschen and T. C. Winter				8. Performing Organization Rept. No. USGS/WRI 81-41	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Division Box 25046, Mail Stop 406, Denver Federal Center Lakewood, CO 80225				10. Project/Task/Work Unit No.	
				11. Contract(C) or Grant(G) No. (C) (G)	
12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Division Box 25046, Mail Stop 406, Denver Federal Center Lakewood, CO 80225				13. Type of Report & Period Covered Progress	
				14.	
15. Supplementary Notes					
16. Abstract (Limit: 200 words) A limnological and geochemical survey of Williams Lake, Minnesota was made in 1979 to provide an initial interpretative description of the lake and the contiguous ground-water system. This survey was made as part of a continuing research program related to the investigation of the interaction of lakes and their contiguous ground-water systems at Williams Lake, Minnesota, as well as other sites within the continental United States. During 1979, Williams Lake was dimictic, and the lake had high optical transparency; light penetrated to the lakebed throughout most of the lake. Aquatic macrophytes were present along the western shore and in the southern bay of the lake. Although the lake had clinograde-oxygen distributions during part of this survey, anaerobic conditions were not observed. The lake is moderately fertile with respect to its total phosphorus content. Nutrient data indicate phosphorus limits phytoplankton biomass levels in Williams Lake. Blue-green algae were the dominant group of the phytoplankton community and copepods were the dominant group of the zooplankton community when the lake was thermally stratified during the open-water season. Diatoms and Rotifers were dominant when the lake was isothermal in the autumn. Calcium and bicarbonate represent more than 90 percent of the dissolved constituents in Williams Lake and the contiguous ground-water system. Major mineralogical constituents of the lake sediments are quartz, dolomite, and calcite. Marl is present only in the littoral zone of the lake. Organic sediments in the lake consist of loose organic floc and gyttja.					
17. Document Analysis a. Descriptors Limnology, Geochemistry, Mineralogy, Lakes, Ground water, Sediments, Phytoplankton, Zooplankton, Aquatic plants					
b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms Minnesota, Hubbard County					
c. COSATI Field/Group					
18. Availability Statement: No restriction on distribution				19. Security Class (This Report) UNCLASSIFIED	
				20. Security Class (This Page) UNCLASSIFIED	
				21. No. of Pages 38	
				22. Price	

**LIMNOLOGICAL AND GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY OF
WILLIAMS LAKE, HUBBARD COUNTY, MINNESOTA**

By J. W. LaBaugh, G. E. Groschen, and T. C. Winter

**U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER-RESOURCES INVESTIGATIONS 81-41**

**Prepared in cooperation with the
Minnesota Department of Natural Resources**



**Denver, Colorado
1981**

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

JAMES G. WATT, Secretary

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Doyle G. Frederick, Acting Director

For additional information write to:

Regional Hydrologist
Water Resources Division, Central Region
U.S. Geological Survey
Box 25046, Mail Stop 406
Denver Federal Center
Denver, Colorado 80225

CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract-----	1
Introduction-----	2
Background-----	2
Purpose and scope-----	5
Acknowledgments-----	5
Methods-----	7
Lake water-----	7
Lake sediments-----	7
Ground water-----	8
Results-----	8
In-lake-----	8
Lake-sediment mineralogy-----	18
Ground water-----	22
Discussion-----	31
Conclusions-----	35
References-----	36

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure 1. Map showing location of Williams Lake, Minnesota-----	3
2. Soil types and geomorphic features near Williams Lake (modified from Siegel and Winter, 1980)-----	4
3. Map and geologic section, Williams Lake, (modified from Siegel and Winter, 1980)-----	6
A. Map showing bathymetry and location of sediment cores-----	6
B. Section showing stratigraphy of lake sediments and location of subsamples for mineralogical analysis (arrows)-----	6
4. Hydrologic instrumentation at Williams Lake and locations of wells used for sampling ground-water quality-----	9
5. Vertical distribution of temperature (A), dissolved oxygen (B), and pH and specific conductance (C) in Williams Lake on 6 days in 1979-----	10
6. Major ion concentrations for near-surface and near-bottom samples of Williams Lake on 6 days in 1979-----	12
7. Iron and manganese concentrations for near-surface and near-bottom samples of Williams Lake on 6 days in 1979-----	13
8. Near-surface and near-bottom concentrations of silica in Williams Lake on 6 days in 1979-----	14

	Page
Figure 9. Near-surface and near-bottom concentrations for phosphorus and nitrogen in Williams Lake on 6 days in 1979. Analyses for total phosphorus and Kjeldahl nitrogen were not made for the October and December sampling dates-----	15
10. Percent composition of the phytoplankton community of Williams Lake on 6 days in 1979, based on cell counts-----	16
11. Percent composition of the zooplankton community of Williams Lake on 6 days in 1979, based on counts from two replicate vertical hauls-----	19
12. Phytoplankton numbers, chlorophyll concentrations, and zooplankton numbers for Williams Lake on 6 days in 1979-----	21
13. Chemical characteristics of ground-water for selected piezometers of nest W and for lake-water at 1 meter depth, October 19, 1979: Major ion concentrations (A) - dissolved iron and manganese concentrations (B)-----	29 30
14. Chemical characteristics of ground water from water-table wells near Williams Lake, showing changes in water chemistry related to distance of movement of lake water that seeped to ground water-----	32

TABLES

Table 1. Morphometric characteristics of Williams Lake-----	5
2. Phytoplankton genera found in Williams Lake, Minnesota, on 6 days in 1979-----	17
3. Zooplankton genera found in Williams Lake, Minnesota, on 6 days in 1979-----	20
4. Lithologic description of lake-sediment cores-----	23
5. Bulk-mineral composition of selected sections of Williams Lake sediment cores-----	24
6. Physical properties and mean concentrations of dissolved chemical constituents for wells sampled periodically-----	25
7. Physical properties and concentrations of dissolved constituents for wells sampled once-----	26
8. Physical properties and concentrations of chemical constituents in water from piezometer nest W; all wells were sampled October 19, 1979-----	27

CONVERSION FACTORS

For the convenience of those readers who prefer to use U.S. customary units rather than the international system of units (SI), the conversion factors for terms used in this report are listed below:

<u>Multiply SI unit</u>	<u>By</u>	<u>To obtain U.S. customary unit</u>
micrometer (μm)		inch (in)
millimeter (mm)	0.039	inch (in)
centimeter (cm)	0.393	inch (in)
meter (m)	3.281	feet (ft)
square meter (m^2)	2.471×10^{-4}	acre
cubic meter (m^3)	35.31	cubic foot (ft^3)
liter (L)	3.531×10^{-2}	cubic foot (ft^3)
milligram per liter (mg/L)	6.242×10^{-5}	pound per cubic foot (lb/ft^3)
milligram per cubic meter (mg/m^3)	6.242×10^{-8}	pound per cubic foot (lb/ft^3)
microgram per liter ($\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$)	6.242×10^{-8}	pound per cubic foot (lb/ft^3)
siemens (S)	1	mhos

National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD of 1929).--A geodetic datum derived from a general adjustment of the first-order level nets of both the United States and Canada, formerly called "mean sea level." The datum was derived from the average sea level over a period of many years at 26 tide stations along the Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, and Pacific coasts.

Brand names used in this report are for identification only and do not imply endorsement by the U.S. Geological Survey.

LIMNOLOGICAL AND GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY OF WILLIAMS LAKE, HUBBARD COUNTY, MINNESOTA

By J. W. LaBaugh, G. E. Groeche, and T. C. Winter

ABSTRACT

A limnological and geochemical survey of Williams Lake, Minnesota, was made in 1979 to provide an initial interpretive description of the lake and the contiguous ground-water system. This survey was made as part of a continuing research program related to the investigation of the interaction of lakes and their contiguous ground-water systems at Williams Lake, Minnesota, as well as other sites within the continental United States.

During 1979, Williams Lake was dimictic, and the lake had high optical transparency; light penetrated to the lakebed throughout most of the lake. Aquatic macrophytes were present along the western shore and in the southern bay of the lake. Although the lake had clinograde-oxygen distributions during part of this survey, anaerobic conditions were not observed. The lake is moderately fertile with respect to its total phosphorus content. Nutrient data indicate phosphorus limits phytoplankton biomass levels in Williams Lake. Blue-green algae were the dominant group of the phytoplankton community and copepods were the dominant group of the zooplankton community when the lake was thermally stratified during the open-water season. Diatoms and rotifers were dominant when the lake was isothermal in the autumn.

Calcium and bicarbonate represent more than 90 percent of the dissolved constituents in Williams Lake and the contiguous ground-water system. Major mineralogical constituents of the lake sediments are quartz, dolomite, and calcite. Marl is present only in the littoral zone of the lake. Organic sediments in the lake consist of loose organic floc and gyttja.

INTRODUCTION

Background

The need for research on nutrient balances of lakes has been amply demonstrated in recent years by increased activity in the field of lake management and restoration (Seyb and Randolph, 1977; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1979). Although water and nutrient balances are required for assessment of lake management and restoration projects, many field and analytical methods are subject to various amounts of error (Winter, 1980). Because of uncertainties in hydrologic methods related to lakes (Winter, 1981), the U.S. Geological Survey recently initiated research studies on the physical, chemical, and biological aspects of lake hydrology.


Williams Lake, a small natural lake in north-central Minnesota (fig. 1), is one of several natural lakes selected by the U.S. Geological Survey and its cooperators for intensive field research. The lake was selected partly because it has no surface-water inlets or outlets; it was perceived to be a relatively simple hydrologic system, interacting only with the atmosphere and with ground water. Based on National Weather Service data obtained at stations tens of miles from Williams Lake, the lake is in an area where precipitation is approximately equal to evaporation. As part of the U.S. Geological Survey's lake hydrology program, Williams Lake has been instrumented for evaluation of several methods of measuring and estimating precipitation, evaporation, and ground-water interchange.

Williams Lake lies immediately south of the Itasca moraine at an altitude of about 420 meters above the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929. The lake is immediately underlain by sand and gravel, but deep test drilling indicates that this surficial unit is underlain by a complex series of alternating till and sand and gravel units. Although the geomorphic setting of the lake is characteristic of ice-contact drift, the lake is shown by Arneman and others (1969) to be near the northern boundary of the Park Rapids-Staples Outwash Plain (fig. 2). The physical setting of Williams Lake, as well as hydrologic instrumentation and preliminary evaluation of its hydrologic setting, is described by Siegel and Winter (1980). The morphometric characteristics of the lake are shown in table 1 and figure 3.

Because Williams Lake is instrumented for extensive hydrologic studies, it is an ideal site for limnological research that is dependent on knowledge of hydrologic fluxes to and from the lake. The limnological characteristics of Williams Lake have never been studied. Therefore, a 1-year survey was made in 1979 so a basic description of the limnological characteristics of the lake would be available.



Figure 1.--Location of Williams Lake, Minnesota



EXPLANATION



Figure 2.--Soil types and geomorphic features near Williams Lake
(modified from Siegel and Winter, 1980)

Table 1.--Morphometric characteristics of Williams Lake

Characteristics	Size, in meters, (m)
Surface area	$3.64 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^2$
Drainage basin area	$2.26 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^2$
Maximum depth	$10.6 \pm 0.9 \text{ m}$
Mean depth ($\frac{\text{lake volume}}{\text{lake area}}$)	5.18 m
Maximum length	981.4 m
Orientation	NW - SE
Maximum width	530.3 m
Mean width	377.9 m
Volume	$1.89 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$
Development of volume ($\frac{3(\text{mean depth})}{\text{maximum depth}}$)	1.5 (dimensionless)
Length of shoreline	2874.2 m
Development of shoreline ($\frac{\text{length of shoreline}}{2 \sqrt{\pi(\text{area of lake})}}$)	1.3 (dimensionless)

Purpose and scope

The purpose of this report is to describe and make preliminary interpretations of: (1) Limnological characteristics of Williams Lake, based on physical, chemical, and biological data collected in 6 days in 6 different months in 1979; (2) chemical quality of ground water near the lake, based on samples collected during the summer of 1979; and (3) mineralogy of the lake sediment cores obtained in February 1978. The period of study extended from March, when the lake was covered by ice, through the period of open water, to December, when it was again covered by ice.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Dr. William Taylor, Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Michigan State University for the zooplankton analyses; and Dr. Blair F. Jones, U.S. Geological Survey, for mineralogical analyses of the lake sediments.

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE




Figure 3.--Map and geologic section, Williams Lake, (modified from Siegel and Winter, 1980)

A. Bathymetry and location of sediment cores B. Stratigraphy of lake sediments and location of subsamples for mineralogical analysis (arrows)

METHODS

Lake water

Williams Lake was sampled in March, May, July, August, October, and December of 1979 for physical, chemical, and biological characteristics. The sampling location was over the deepest part of the lake (fig. 3). Optical transparency was measured with a 20-cm (centimeter) diameter white Secchi disk. A multiparameter probe was used to measure temperature, specific conductance, dissolved oxygen, and pH at 1-m (meter) intervals along a vertical profile through the water column.

Samples for major ion, iron, manganese, total phosphorus, total nitrogen, and silica concentrations were collected with a 2-L (liter) PVC horizontal Alpha bottle at 1 m below the lake surface (hereafter referred to as near-surface samples) and at 1 m above the lake bottom (hereafter referred to as near-bottom samples). The Alpha bottle also was used to obtain discrete-depth samples from the euphotic zone, that was determined by doubling the Secchi disk transparency value (Dillon and Rigler, 1974). These discrete-depth samples were combined into one 2-L sample, which was then split into two 1-L subsamples: One for phytoplankton analysis, and the other for chlorophyll *a* analysis. The subsample for phytoplankton analysis was preserved with a formalin-copper sulfate solution. The subsample for chlorophyll *a* analysis was filtered through a glass-fiber filter, then analyzed by chromatography and fluorometry (Shoaf and Lium, 1977).

Zooplankton were collected in replicate vertical hauls from 1-meter above lake bottom to the surface, using an 80- μ m (micrometer) mesh Wisconsin plankton net. Zooplankton samples were narcotized with soda water and then fixed with formalin.

All samples except zooplankton were analyzed by the U.S. Geological Survey national water quality laboratory in Doraville, Georgia, according to methods described in Skougstad and others (1979) for water chemistry and Greeson and others (1977) for biological determinations. Zooplankton were identified and enumerated by Dr. William Taylor, Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Michigan State University.

Lake sediments

Cores of lake sediment were taken at seven locations in Williams Lake February 19-20, 1978 (fig. 3). The cores were taken with a 50-cm diameter piston corer, using core barrels of 1, 2, and (or) 3.5-m lengths. The sediment was extruded onsite and wrapped in plastic-film wrap and aluminum foil. The cores were later split in half, cut into 5-cm segments,

and stored. Ten samples of lake sediment (fig. 3) were analyzed by Dr. Blair F. Jones, U.S. Geological Survey, for their mineralogical composition using X-ray diffraction (Jones and Bowser, 1979).

Ground water

Samples of ground water for chemical analysis were collected with a bailer, modified from the design of Wood (1976), because the wells have small diameters (5 cm or less) and most are water-table wells containing less than 2 m of water. Each well sampled (see fig. 4 for locations) was bailed until approximately four casing volumes had moved through the screen, or until constant temperature, pH, and specific conductance were obtained. Temperature was measured either by a thermister or a calibrated mercury thermometer. Specific conductance was measured with a specific conductance bridge adjusted to the water temperature and a plastic-graphite dip cell. In the range of specific conductance encountered, 100 to 500 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ (microsiemens per centimeter), the indicated values were all within 5 percent error. A pH meter and combination glass electrode were used to measure pH. All pH measurements were preceded by double buffer checks with 7.00 and 4.00 or 10.00 (± 0.02 units) buffers cooled to ground-water temperature.

Alkalinity was determined in the field by titration with standardized sulfuric acid. Calcium and magnesium were determined by EDTA compleximetric titrations using Eriochrome Black T indicator (Brown and others, 1970). Calcium was determined by a similar titration at a higher pH using hydroxynaphthol blue indicator. Other constituents were determined by the U.S. Geological Survey national water quality laboratory in Doraville, Georgia, according to standard techniques (Skougstad and others, 1979).

RESULTS

In-lake

Williams Lake had inverse thermal stratification under ice cover in March and December, and distinct thermal stratification in May, July, and August (fig. 5A). There was no distinct hypolimnion in July, and bottom temperatures were greater than 4°C in May, July, August, and October. Assuming that doubling the Secchi disk transparency depth is equal to the zone of light penetration in the lake, light reached the bottom of the lake at its deepest point in July and August.

Clinograde distributions of oxygen were observed on each sampling date of this survey (fig. 5B). However, oxygen was never completely absent from any part of the water column during this investigation. Oxygen was partially depleted under ice cover (fig. 5B), and near-anaerobic conditions existed at the lake bottom in March. Supersaturation of oxygen was

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE




Figure 4. --Hydrologic instrumentation at Williams Lake and location of wells used for sampling at ground-water quality




Figure 5.--Vertical distribution of temperature (A), dissolved oxygen (B), and pH and specific conductance (C) in Williams Lake on 6 days in 1979

evident in May, July, and August and was probably related to photosynthetic activity in the lake.

Distinct clinograde distributions of pH were observed only in July and August (fig. 5C). The vertical distribution of specific conductance was inverse clinograde in March, July, and August (fig. 5C). Average specific conductance for the entire water column for all sampling dates was 194 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, and the average calculated total dissolved solids concentration was 126 mg/L (milligrams per liter).

Calcium is the dominant cation and bicarbonate (alkalinity) is the dominant anion in Williams Lake (fig. 6). Kelts and Hsu (1979) state that in waters of pH 6.5 to 8.5, the simplifying assumption of alkalinity equalling the bicarbonate concentration is valid. The conditions for this assumption were found to exist in Williams Lake during this study; independent determinations for silica, phosphorus, and nitrogen indicate they are present in quantities insufficient to influence alkalinity. In general, higher concentrations of iron and manganese were observed in the near-bottom samples compared to the near-surface samples (fig. 7), when the oxygen profiles for the lake were distinctly clinograde, and near-anaerobic conditions existed above the lake sediments. The average concentration of SiO_2 for this study was 0.7 mg/L ; there was no consistent discernable difference between near-surface and near-bottom samples (fig. 8).

For the dates that total phosphorus data are available, concentrations near the bottom were more than double the concentrations near the surface (fig. 9). Average total phosphorus concentrations were 0.008 mg/L at 1 meter below the surface, and 0.018 mg/L at 1 meter above the bottom. Kjeldahl nitrogen was the major component of total nitrogen for the sample dates. Differences between the near-bottom and near-surface nitrogen concentrations are not as distinct as those for total phosphorus. Average concentrations for total nitrogen components were 0.47 mg/L for Kjeldahl nitrogen as nitrogen, and 0.059 mg/L for nitrite plus nitrate as nitrogen. Based on all of the dates and depths for which total nitrogen (N) and total phosphorus (P) data were available, the N:P ratio for Williams Lake is 46:1 by weight.

Blue-green algae were the most numerous group of algae found in Williams Lake (fig. 10). Diatoms were most abundant in October, the only sampling date that the lake was truly isothermal. Phytoplankton genera found during the study are shown in table 2.

Copepods were the most numerous group of zooplankton found in this survey (fig. 11). However, rotifers were more abundant than either copepods or cladocerans during the isothermal conditions observed in October. Zooplankton genera found during the study are shown in table 3.

The average chlorophyll *a* concentration for the second half of the study was 4.18 mg/m^3 . The first few samples for chlorophyll *a* analyses were




Figure 6. -- Major ion concentrations for near-surface and near-bottom samples of Williams Lake on 6 days in 1979




Figure 7.-- Iron and manganese concentrations for near-surface and near-bottom samples of Williams Lake on 6 days in 1979




Figure 8.--Near-surface and near-bottom concentrations of silica in Williams Lake on 6 days in 1979




Figure 9. -- Near-surface and near-bottom concentrations for phosphorus and nitrogen in Williams Lake on 6 days in 1979. Analyses for total phosphorus and Kjeldahl nitrogen were not made for the October and December sampling dates




Figure 10.-- Percent composition of the phytoplankton community of Williams Lake on 6 days in 1979, based on cell counts

Table 2.--Phytoplankton genera found in Williams Lake, Minnesota, on 6 days in 1979

[X = indicates genera comprising greater than 10 percent of total numbers
on the sampling date; / = indicates present on sampling date]

Organism	Date of sampling ^{1/}					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Chlorophyceae (greens):						
<i>Schroederia</i>		X	/	/		/
<i>Oocystis</i>			/	/	/	
<i>Scenedesmus</i>			/	/		
<i>Elakatothrix</i>				/		
<i>Gloeocystis</i>			/	/		
<i>Tetraedron</i>			/			
<i>Chlamydomonas</i>	X					
Bacillariophyceae (diatoms):						
<i>Cyclotella</i>		/				/
<i>Melosira</i>		/			/	
<i>Fragillaria</i>					X	/
<i>Nitzschia</i>		/		/		/
<i>Asterionella</i>					X	
Chrysophyceae (chrysophytes--yellow-browns):						
<i>Dinobryon</i>						/
Euglenophyceae						
<i>Euglena</i>				/		
<i>Trachelomonas</i>				/		
Cyanophyceae (blue greens):						
<i>Anacystis</i>		/	X	X		
<i>Aphanizomenon</i>			/			
<i>Anabaena</i>		X		/	/	

Table 2.--Phytoplankton genera found in Williams Lake, Minnesota, on 6 days in 1979 (continued)

Organism	Date of sampling ^{1/}					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
<i>Oscillatoria</i>			/	X		X
<i>Coccochloris</i>			/			
<i>Gomphosphaeria</i>			/			
Cryptophyceae (cryptomonads):						
<i>Cryptomonas</i>			/	/		
<i>Chroomonas</i>			/			
Dinophyceae (dinoflagellates):						
<i>Ceratium</i>			/			
<i>Glenodinium</i>	X					

^{1/}Sampling day - (1) March 29, (2) May 23, (3) July 26, (4) August 29,
(5) October 19, and (6) December 27

destroyed during processing; therefore chlorophyll *a* data are available for only the latter half of the study. The number of phytoplankton varied widely, from 26 cells/mL (cells per milliliter) in March to 88,000 cells/mL in July. Zooplankton numbers ranged from 3,375 organisms/m³ (organisms per cubic meter) in December to 21,900 organisms/m³ in May, based on the average of the two replicate samples. Algal biomass, as measured by chlorophyll *a*, and total numbers for both phytoplankton and zooplankton are shown in figure 12.

A partial survey of macrophytes indicated that the predominant emergent plants are *Nymphaea* species. The main submersed macrophytes are *Chara* species and three species of *Potamogeton*. All of these macrophytes are generally confined to zones along the western shore and in the bogs at the southeast end of the lake. The southeast bay contained a dense growth of *Nymphaea* species, covering 70-80 percent of the water surface in the bay. This bay also had a sparse population of *Equisetum* species.

Lake - sediment mineralogy

Quartz is the most abundant mineral in nearly all samples of Williams Lake sediments. No carbonates were identified in the gyttja. In the




Figure 11.--Percent composition of the zooplankton community of Williams Lake on 6 days in 1979, based on counts from two replicate vertical hauls

Table 3. -- Zooplankton genera found in Williams Lake, Minnesota, on 6 days in 1979

[X = indicates genera comprising greater than 15 percent of total numbers on the sampling date; / = indicates present on sampling date]

Organism	Date of sampling ^{1/}					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Cladocera						
<i>Daphnia</i>	/	/	X	X	X	X
<i>Ceriodophnia</i>				/		
<i>Bosmina</i>	/	/	/	/		/
<i>Alona</i>		/				
<i>Chydorus</i>		/	/	X	X	/
Rotifera						
<i>Kellicottia</i>	/	/	/		/	/
<i>Keratella</i>	/	/	/	/		/
<i>Polyarthra</i>				/	X	/
<i>Asplanchna</i>			/	/	/	/
Unknown Rotifera		/				
Copepoda						
<i>Diaptomus</i>	X	X	/	/	/	X
Unknown adult cyclopoid	/		/	/		X

^{1/}Sampling Day - (1) March 29, (2) May 23, (3) July 26, (4) August 29, (5) October 19, and (6) December 27

inorganic sediments, calcite is generally present, and may be codominant with quartz. Marl, a calcite-rich fine-grained lake sediment, was identified in the field in parts of cores 6 and 7. The X-ray analysis of the subsample of core 6 shows that calcite is the predominant mineral, and has relatively much less quartz. Similar marl deposits were observed in the littoral zone of the lake mainly along the northern shore. Marl was also observed at the northern shore by D. R. Erickson (University of Minnesota, oral commun., 1979).




Figure 12. -- Phytoplankton numbers, chlorophyll concentrations, and zooplankton numbers for Williams Lake on 6 days in 1979

A detailed discussion of the geochemical lake-ground-water system that leads to the deposition of this calcite deposit is presented by Groschen (1981). Lithologic descriptions of the sediment cores that were subsampled for mineralogical analysis are given in table 4. Semi-quantitative mineral abundances measured in randomly-mounted, X-rayed powder samples are given in table 5.

Dolomite is present in many of the lake-sediment samples. The samples from core 7 that were analyzed were taken from the glacial drift beneath the lake sediments. In these deposits, dolomite is equal to or exceeds the amount of calcite, which is probably due to the relative abundances of these minerals in the source rocks of the glacial deposits in the watershed. The quartz, calcite (not associated with marl), dolomite, albite, potassium feldspar, and amphibole present in the lake sediments also are probably derived from the watershed.

Kaolinite and chlorite are the dominant clay minerals, but mixed-layer clays also are present. Clay minerals were determined by oriented X-ray techniques on the size fraction of the samples less than 1 μm (Jones and Bowser, 1979). Calcite was identified in this size fraction only in the inorganic lake-sediment samples. The glacial drift sample from core 7 apparently contains no calcite particles smaller than 1 μm .

Ground water

According to Siegel and Winter (1981), Williams Lake has about equal amounts of ground-water inflow and outflow. Their study was of only a 3-month, late-summer period, during which ground water constituted about 12 percent of total inflow and outflow. On an annual basis it is estimated that ground-water inflow and outflow is probably between 10 and 20 percent of the total water budget.

Field analyses (tables 6 and 7) and laboratory analytical data (table 8) show that ground water near Williams Lake is of the calcium bicarbonate type. Laboratory data are for ground water taken from piezometers WLN-40, WLN-70, WLN-100, and WLN-130 of nest W (fig. 4). These piezometers are completed in the upper outwash sand which underlies the lake basin. The three ions: Ca^{+2} (calcium), Mg^{+2} (magnesium), and HCO_3^- (bicarbonate) constitute 95 to 98 percent of the total ionic concentration, in equivalents.

Mean temperature of the ground water in the study area is 9.2°C. Specific conductance ranges from 250 to 505 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$. The lower conductance values are from zones where lake water seeps to ground water, for example at well 4 (fig. 4). The pH of ground water ranges from 7.25 to 8.10. Calcium concentrations range from 40 to 92 mg/L, and generally increase with increasing specific conductance. Magnesium concentrations range from 6.7 to 18.0 mg/L, but have no clear trend with respect to specific conductance. Alkalinity (expressed as mg/L bicarbonate) ranges from 170 to 385 mg/L; it shows a trend similar to calcium with respect to specific conductance.

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

Table 4. --Lithologic description of lake-sediment cores

Core No. ^{1/}	Depth below lake surface, in meters	Description
4	0 - 9.80	Water.
	9.80-13.70	Gyttja.
	13.70-14.20	Silt and clay.
	14.20-15.20	Silt.
6	0 - 2.00	Water.
	2.00- 2.30	Loose organic floc.
	2.30- 3.50	Light-colored marly sediment, some organic sediment and plant fragments (?) scattered throughout.
	3.50- 3.55	Sand, medium-coarse (glacial drift).
7	0 - 4.50	Water.
	4.50- 5.40	Gyttja.
	5.40- 5.50	Gyttja, contains plant fragments.
	5.50- 5.62	Marl (?) contains plant fragments lake sediments. +
		glacial drift. +
	5.62- 5.65	Gravel.
	5.65- 5.67	Silt, uniform.
	5.67- 5.72	Sand, fine-medium.
	5.72- 5.77	Silt, sandy.
	5.77- 5.80	Sand, medium-coarse.
	5.80- 5.97	Silt, scattered fine sand, has banded appearance.
	5.97- 6.50	Sand, medium-coarse, scattered fine-medium gravel (glacial drift).

^{1/}Core 2 was not described in the field; cores 1, 3, and 5 were not subsampled for mineralogic analysis.

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

Table 5.--Bulk-mineral composition of selected sections of Williams Lake sediment cores

[Q = quartz; C = calcite; D = dolomite; Ab = albite; K = potassium feldspar;
Am = amphibole; all analyses by B. F. Jones]

Core number ^{1/}	Depth below ice surface, in meters	Description of core section ^{2/}	Relative bulk mineral abundances
2	10.50-11.00 ^{3/}	Black-brown gelatinous gyttja (Holocene).	Q >>> Al >> K (no carbonates).
	12.05-12.10	-- do --	Q >> Al >>> K (no carbonates).
	13.20-13.25	Dark silt (Holocene-Pleistocene?).	Q >> C > D > Al > K.
	14.10-14.15 ^{3/}	Light gray silt with some sand (Pleistocene).	Q = C >> D = Al >> K >> Am.
	15.00-15.05 ^{3/}	-- do --	Q = C >> D = Al >> K >>> Am.
4	13.70-13.75 ^{3/}	<u>2/</u>	Q = C >> D > Al >> K.
	14.20-14.25 ^{3/}	<u>2/</u>	Q = C >> D > Al > K.
6	3.00- 3.05	<u>2/</u>	C >> Q >>> D.
7	5.97- 6.00	<u>2/</u>	Q > Al >> C = D >> K.
	6.42- 6.45 ^{3/}	<u>2/</u>	Q > Al > D >> C.

^{1/}Cores 1, 3, and 5 were not subsampled for mineralogic analysis.

^{2/}See table 4 for lithologic descriptions of lake-sediment cores for cores 4, 6, and 7.

^{3/}These samples also were analyzed for minerals in the <1- μ m size fraction in oriented mounts.

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

**Table 6.--Physical properties and mean concentrations of dissolved chemical constituents
for wells sampled periodically**

[mg/L = milligram per liter; μ S/cm = microsiemens per centimeter;

$^{\circ}$ C = degrees Celsius; all analyses by G. Groschen]

Well no.	Sampling period	Calcium (mg/L)	Magnesium (mg/L)	Bicarbonate (mg/L)	pH units	Specific conductance (μ S/cm)	Temperature ($^{\circ}$ C)
WLN-1	July 1979 to Oct. 1979	82	14.5	332	7.46	454	9.2
WLN-2	July 1979 to Oct. 1979	55	10.7	229	7.56	342	8.6
WLN-4	July 1979 to Oct. 1979	45	9.8	185	7.62	300	9.0
WLN-5	July 1979 to Oct. 1979	72	11.2	278	7.30	115	12.8
WLN-6	July 1979 to Oct. 1979	68	12.0	264	7.48	4	8.5
WLN-7	July 1979 to Oct. 1979	57	12.2	241	7.74	365	8.1
WLN-8	July 1979 to Oct. 1979	71	11.2	261	7.50	409	8.0
WLN-9	July 1979 to Oct. 1979	69	11.6	293	7.50	415	8.0
WLN-11	July 1979 to Oct. 1979	82	10.3	319	7.40	451	9.0
WLN-40	July 1979 to Oct. 1979	64	8.9	267	7.48	358	10.0
Mean of all wells		66	11.5	267	7.50	391	9.2

Table 7.--Physical properties and concentrations of dissolved constituents for wells sampled once

[mg/L = milligram per liter; $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ = microsiemens per centimeter;
C = degrees Celsius; all analyses by G. Groschen]

Well no.	Sampling date	Calcium (mg/L)	Magnesium (mg/L)	Bicarbonate (mg/L)	pH units	Specific conductance ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$)	Temperature (°C)
WLN-10	08/02/79	75	8.8	385	7.50	435	10.0
Adelman	10/19/79	71	16.3	291	7.24	430	7.0
Domestic							
Erickson	10/20/79	62	16.3	267	7.50	320	11.8
Lake Well							
WLN-70	10/19/79	60	10.0	236	7.90	365	9.4
WLN-100	10/19/79	58	12.3	244	7.85	365	9.4
WLN-130	10/19/79	57	13.5	248	7.90	380	9.6
WLN-180	10/19/79	76	6.7	264	7.80	410	9.2

**Table 8. - Physical properties and concentrations of chemical constituents in water from piezometer nest W;
all wells were sampled October 19, 1979**

[°C = degrees Celsius; $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ = microsiemens per centimeter; mg/L = milligrams per liter; $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ = micrograms per liter]

Piezometer	Temperature (°C)	Color (platinum-cobalt units)	Specific conductance ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$)	pH	Dissolved calculated carbon dioxide (mg/L)	Bicarbonate (mg/L)	Hardness		Dissolved solids		Nitrogen, dissolved (mg/L)	Nitrate, as N (mg/L)
							Carbonate as CaCO_3 (mg/L)	Noncarbonate as CaCO_3 (mg/L)	Residue at 180°C (mg/L)	Sum of constituents (mg/L)		
WLN 40	9.6	5	400	7.5	12.0	243	190	0	229	223	3.90	0.00
WLN 70	9.4	5	365	7.9	4.7	231	180	0	227	208	1.80	.00
WLN 100	9.4	5	365	7.8	5.0	219	190	6	188	201	0.28	.04
WLN 130	9.6	2	380	7.9	4.9	243	200	0	227	217	.50	.00

	Calcium (mg/L)	Magnesium (mg/L)	Sodium (mg/L)	Potassium (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)	Fluoride (mg/L)	Silica, as SiO_2 (mg/L)	Boron ($\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$)	Iron ($\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$)	Manganese ($\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$)	Aluminum ($\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$)
WLN 40	61	9.7	2.4	1.5	1.4	0.2	0.1	24	20	2300	240	30
WLN 70	57	10.0	2.7	1.3	1.1	2.7	.1	18	20	210	190	40
WLN 100	53	13.0	4.0	1.4	1.1	5.0	.1	15	10	180	140	40
WLN 130	55	14.0	3.2	1.2	1.0	4.5	.1	17	10	660	130	30

Note: The following constituents were analyzed for but were not present: ammonia; nitrite, both as N and as NO_2^- ; and orthophosphate, both as P and as PO_4^{3-} .

Concentrations of the major dissolved species in ground water taken from the four piezometers of nest W on October 19, 1979, are compared with lake water sampled October 18, 1979 (fig. 13A). Iron and manganese concentrations in these same samples are shown in figure 13B. The Eh and pH conditions in the lake may exert more control on calcium, alkalinity, iron, and manganese than dilution by rain and snow. The Eh and pH conditions in the lake are greatly different than the conditions of the ground-water environment. The effect of this difference can be seen in untreated filtered water from WLN-40 that was left in a polyethylene bottle--red precipitate formed in the bottle after a few days. A similar precipitate also has been reported in water from a shallow domestic well nearby. The high iron and manganese concentrations shown in figure 13B as well as the presence of H_2S gas noted during pumping of the piezometers may be due to reducing conditions (low Eh) in the ground-water system.

Reduced iron and manganese in solution will oxidize upon exposure to atmospheric oxygen (high Eh) and precipitate out of solution. Preliminary WATEQF (a FORTRAN IV computer program for calculating chemical equilibrium of natural waters) (Plummer and others, 1976) computations show that ground water is theoretically in equilibrium with a high partial pressure of CO_2 and with calcite. Water withdrawn from a piezometer at Williams Lake most likely will release CO_2 , which increases the pH, and thus creates conditions for calcite precipitation. The red precipitate from the ground water from piezometer WLN-40 is probably a mixture of amorphous iron hydroxide and calcium carbonate.

A similar change in Eh and pH occurs when ground-water discharges into the lake. Assuming the water discharging into the lake is similar to that taken from piezometer WLN-40, there is probably a loss of dissolved calcium, alkalinity, iron, and manganese from solution, which may explain the differences between ground water and lake water shown in figures 13A and 13B.

In the Williams Lake system, solubility of magnesium is not as susceptible to pH controls as are calcium, alkalinity, iron, and manganese, nor as susceptible to Eh controls as are iron and manganese. Comparison of the concentrations of magnesium in ground water and lake water yields a rough estimate of the amount of dilution affecting all ions. The concentration of magnesium in lake water is 61 percent of the average (11.6 mg/L) concentration of magnesium in ground water from the four piezometers. Concentration of calcium in lake water is 39 percent of the four-piezometer average (56 mg/L). Alkalinity of the lake water is 42 percent of average ground-water concentrations (190 mg/L). If magnesium is affected only by dilution, changes in pH and partial pressure of CO_2 should account for the additional 19 to 21 percent reduction in calcium and alkalinity concentrations.

This is shown more convincingly by the differences in iron and manganese concentrations between ground water and lake water. Concentration of iron in lake water is only 1 percent of the average concentration in ground water from the four piezometers. Concentration of manganese in lake




Figure 13.(A)-- Chemical characteristics of ground-water for selected piezometers of nest W and for lake-water at 1 meter depth, October 19, 1979: Major ion concentrations




Figure 13.(B) -- Chemical characteristics of ground water for selected piezometers of nest W and for lake-water at 1 meter depth, October 19, 1979: dissolved iron and manganese concentrations

water is 17 percent of the average concentration in ground water from the four piezometers. The oxidizing conditions (high Eh) in lake water probably cause the iron and manganese to precipitate.

Sulfate concentrations are low in the ground water sampled; most are less than 5 mg/L. The lower limit of the analytical procedure used to determine sulfate in Williams Lake water samples is 5 mg/L (Friedman and Beetem, 1980), therefore the accuracy of those sulfate determinations less than 5 mg/L is uncertain. Chloride is present in concentrations less than 1.5 mg/L. The major source of these two anions may be the atmosphere. Sodium concentration also is low: less than 5.0 mg/L; potassium concentration also is low: less than 2.0 mg/L.

No significant changes in the chemistry of ground water were observed during July through October 1979. A series of water-table wells on the southwestern shore were sampled twice in October. Except for well Y, ground water from these wells showed little variation between the two sampling dates. However, concentrations of calcium and bicarbonate ions increase away from the lake along a flow path from the lake to well W (fig. 14). Concentrations of calcium, magnesium, and bicarbonate in lake water and ground water from WLN-8 are included in figure 14 for comparison. WLN-8 water is considered representative of ground water flowing into the lake on the eastern shore.

Well Y is located near a wetland at the west end of the series of wells shown in figure 14. This wetland might be related to the water-table mound determined by water-level measurements in wells S through Y. Percolating rain water probably dilutes ground water near the wetland in the vicinity of wells Y and X. Recent theoretical modeling studies by Winter indicate the most favorable locations for growth of water-table mounds; hence, ground-water recharge, is immediately adjacent to surface-water bodies. The large decrease in concentrations of calcium, magnesium, and bicarbonate in water from well Y on October 20, 1979 can probably be attributed to the 19 mm (millimeters) of precipitation on October 18, 1979.

Water seeping from the lake into the ground-water-flow system must come to equilibrium with the geochemical environment by dissolving carbonate minerals in the outwash sand. Based on WATEQF calculations, dilution of ground water by either infiltrating rain or lake water also would cause carbonate dissolution to attain equilibrium. The increase of concentrations of calcium and bicarbonate with distance from shore indicates dissolution of carbonate minerals to attain equilibrium occurs in the zone of seepage from the lake.

DISCUSSION

According to the criteria of Hutchinson (1957), Williams Lake is dimictic. Marked thermal stratification was observed under ice cover and in the summer. Temperatures greater than 24°C (degrees Celsius) were observed above 3.5 m in July, due in part to the transparency of the water




Figure 14.-- Chemical characteristics of ground water from water-table wells near Williams Lake, showing changes in water chemistry related to distance of movement of lake water that seeped to ground water

in Williams Lake. Secchi-disk transparency values indicate light penetrates to the bottom of the lake. This facilitates the growth of the lake's abundant submersed and emergent macrophytes. The depth of light penetration may also account for the slight oxygen maximum observed in May in conjunction with either the phytoplankton or macrophyte communities. Hutchinson (1957) has indicated that oxygen maxima involving supersaturated conditions are due to photosynthetic activity.

The concentration of major cations and anions in Williams Lake is somewhat higher than the world freshwater average reported by Livingstone (1963). The lake is similar to other lakes of northern and northeastern Minnesota classified by Tarapchak (1973), because water chemistry of the lake is dominated by calcium and bicarbonate ions. The major cation of the water chemistry of the contiguous ground-water system is also calcium; the major anion is bicarbonate. The importance of calcium and bicarbonate is probably a function of the composition of the glacial drift near the lake, which includes calcite and dolomite. The low concentrations of sulfate, chloride, sodium, and potassium in the lake and the contiguous ground-water system suggest the major source of these ions is from the atmosphere. Gorham (1961) has indicated the atmosphere can act as a source for chloride, sulfate, and sodium. Concentrations of these ions in the lake and its contiguous watershed were similar to values found in atmospheric precipitation by Garrels and MacKenzie (1971) and Likens (1976).

Williams Lake has no surface-water inlet or outlet. The major-ion data indicate that ground-water and not atmospheric exchange is primarily responsible for the ionic composition of Williams Lake, based on comparison between the relative differences in the ionic composition of the lake and the contiguous ground-water system. Similar comparisons between the ionic composition of lake water and water taken from water-table wells were made in the Trout Lake region of Wisconsin (Fries, 1938). Fries used those data to indicate that lakes with no surface inlet or outlet interacted with the local ground-water system.

Mortimer (1941, 1942) demonstrated that iron and manganese are mobilized from sediments to overlying water under near-anaerobic and anaerobic conditions. This process is a possible explanation for the higher concentrations of dissolved iron and manganese present in the July near-bottom sample compared to the near-surface sample, because nearly anaerobic conditions existed at 7 m. Although the mechanism which facilitates mobilization of iron from the sediments described by Mortimer can also account for an increase in phosphorus concentrations in the water above the sediments, it is not clear that this is occurring in Williams Lake. There is a distinct difference between near-surface and near-bottom total phosphorus concentrations when conditions are nearly anaerobic above the sediments of Williams Lake, but this also was observed in May when the entire water column contained more than 9 mg/L of dissolved oxygen. These data indicate the difference in near-surface and near-bottom concentrations of total phosphorus may be due to another mechanism, an accumulation of particulate material originating in the epilimnion and the littoral areas of the lake (Rigler, 1973).

Chiaudani and Vighi (1974) demonstrated that phytoplankton biomass is limited by phosphorus when the N:P ratio is greater than 10:1 by weight. Total nitrogen and total phosphorus data indicate algal biomass levels in Williams Lake are limited by total phosphorus concentrations, because the N:P ratio in the lake is 46:1 by weight. However, the factors controlling the maximum standing crop of all plant biomass in Williams Lake are not as readily identified because of the importance of the sediments as a nutrient source for aquatic macrophytes (Carignan and Kalff, 1980).

Carlson (1977) has developed an index for investigating the relationships between Secchi disk, total phosphorus, and chlorophyll values in lakes. Several Minnesota lakes were used by Carlson to demonstrate the use of the index. Carlson indicated that when these three characteristics are converted to the index values, they should be equal. The index formulation is based on empirical relationships between the three characteristics. The empirical relationship between phosphorus and chlorophyll concentrations indicate phosphorus places a limit on chlorophyll concentration in lakes. The empirical relationship between chlorophyll and Secchi disk indicates they are inversely related. Data for all three characteristics in Williams Lake were available only from the August sampling date. Based on these data, index values of 37 (Secchi disk), 39 (total phosphorus), and 45 (chlorophyll) were obtained, supporting the assumption that total phosphorus concentrations place a limit on algal biomass abundance (as measured by chlorophyll) in Williams Lake. These results also indicate Secchi-disk transparency and algal biomass are inversely related in Williams Lake. Chlorophyll concentrations observed in this study fall in the range of moderately productive lakes presented by Likens (1975).

Concentrations of SiO_2 in Williams Lake are much lower than the world freshwater average presented by Livingstone (1963). The SiO_2 concentrations in Williams Lake are also lower than the average values presented by Bright (1968) for Minnesota Lakes in coniferous forests, deciduous forests, and prairie environments. There were no distinct significant statistical differences between near-surface and near-bottom samples for each date, and there was no distinct seasonal pattern in concentration changes from date to date in Williams Lake. These data indicate the mechanism of SiO_2 depletion by the sedimentation of diatom frustules (Kalff and Knoechel, 1978), and subsequent release of dissolved silica from the sediments (Bailey-Watts, 1976) probably does not occur in Williams Lake. Wetzel (1975) has indicated SiO_2 concentrations less than 0.5 mg/L are limiting for diatoms. Diatoms were the dominant component of the phytoplankton community in October, when SiO_2 concentrations were < 0.5 mg/L. Kalff and Knoechel (1978) have indicated diatoms are closely associated with isothermal periods of mixing, when their greater loss rates due to sinking are not a factor in placing them at a competitive disadvantage with other algae. Diatoms were dominant in Williams Lake only during the isothermal conditions in October, based on data collected in this study.

It is not clear why blue-green algae constitute the major component of the phytoplankton community in Williams Lake in May, July, August, and December. The high N:P ratio and absence of any pattern of nitrate

depletion in Williams Lake preclude the common mechanisms attributed to blue-green algae dominance. When the N:P ratio is less than 5:1, nitrogen limits the phytoplankton and nitrogen-fixing blue-green algae become dominant (Schindler, 1977). The depletion of inorganic nitrogen in the euphotic zone gives a competitive advantage to nitrogen-fixing blue-green algae, which then can become dominant (Depinto and others, 1976).

The limited number of sampling dates in this study preclude identifying distinct patterns of seasonal succession in the zooplankton community of Williams Lake. However, the lake's zooplankton community does exhibit very general trends similar to those reported in the literature and discussed in detail by Hutchinson (1967). In Williams Lake, *Daphnia* were the major components of the zooplankton community in July, August, October, and December, and *Daphnia* maxima occurred in July and October. This pattern of population dynamics is one that is typical of the genus *Daphnia* discussed by Hutchinson (1967). Members of the genus *Chydorus* are primarily littoral species according to Hutchinson, occurring in the open water only in the summer. Chydorids in Williams Lake were important components of the limnetic zooplankton community only in August and October; the maximum for this study occurred in October. The genus *Polyarthra* has definite autumnal species (Hutchinson, 1967), and of all the rotifers in Williams Lake, only *Polyarthra* comprised more than 10 percent of the total numbers of zooplankton; this occurred in October.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Calcium bicarbonate geochemistry dominates Williams Lake and the contiguous ground-water system.
2. Based on geochemical and hydrologic data, the lake and the contiguous ground-water system interact.
3. Quartz, calcite, and dolomite were the major mineralogical constituents of the lake sediments. Marl was found in the littoral zones of the lake close to the shoreline. Loose organic floc and gyttja characterized the organic lake sediments.
4. The lake was dimictic and has high optical transparency.
5. Anaerobic conditions in the water column were not observed, despite the fact that the lake had clinograde oxygen distribution. Iron and manganese differed in their vertical distribution, coincident with clinograde oxygen distribution.
6. The lake was moderately fertile with respect to its nutrient content. Phytoplankton biomass levels appear to be limited by the lake's phosphorus content.

7. In the open water season when the lake was stratified thermally, the dominant components of the phytoplankton communities were blue-green algae, the dominant component of the zooplankton communities were copepods and cladocerans. Aquatic macrophytes were abundant.
8. During isothermal conditions in the autumn, diatoms and rotifers were the dominant groups of their respective communities.

REFERENCES

- Arneman, H. F., and others, 1969, Minnesota Soil Atlas, Brainerd Sheet: University of Minnesota Agricultural Experiment Station Miscellaneous Report 90-1969, 36 p.
- Bailey-Watts, A. E., 1976, Planktonic diatoms and silica in Loch Leven, Kinross, Scotland: A one-month silica budget: *Freshwater Biology*, v. 6, p. 203-213.
- Bright, R. C., 1968, Surface water chemistry of some Minnesota lakes, with preliminary notes on diatoms: University of Minnesota, Limnological Research Center Interim Report No. 3, 110 p.
- Brown, Eugene, Skougstad, M. N., and Fishman, M. J., 1970, Methods of collection and analysis of water samples for dissolved minerals and gases: U.S. Geological Survey, Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, Book 5, Chapter A-1, 160 p.
- Carignan, R., and Kalff, J., 1980, Phosphorus sources for aquatic weeds: Water or Sediments? *Science*, v. 207, p. 987-989.
- Carlson, R. E., 1977, A trophic state index for lakes: *Limnology and Oceanography*, v. 22, p. 361-369.
- Chiaudani, G., and Vigli, M., 1974, The N:P ratio and tests with *Selenastrum* to predict eutrophication in lakes: *Water Research*, v. 8, p. 1063-1069.
- DePinto, J. V., Bierman, V. J., and Verhoff, F. H., 1976, Seasonal phytoplankton succession as a function of species competition for phosphorus and nitrogen, in Canale, R. P., ed., Modeling biochemical processes in aquatic ecosystems: Ann Arbor, Michigan, Ann Arbor Science, p. 141-169.
- Dillon, P. J., and Rigler, F. H., 1974, The phosphorus-chlorophyll relationship in lakes: *Limnology and Oceanography*, v. 19, p. 767-773.
- Friedman, L. C., and Beitem, W. A., ed., 1980, Water quality laboratory services catalog: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 79-697, 185 p.
- Fries, Carl, Jr., 1938, Geology and ground water of the Trout Lake region, Vilas County, Wisconsin: Transactions of the Wisconsin Academy of Sciences, Arts, and Letters, v. 31, p. 305-322.
- Garrels, R. M., and MacKenzie, F. J., 1971, Evolution of sedimentary rocks: New York, Norton, 397 p.
- Groschen, G. E., 1981, Geochemistry of ground-water-lake systems in glacial drift: University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, M.S. thesis, unpublished, p. 8-11.

- Gorham, Eville, 1961, Factors influencing supply of major ions to inland waters, with special reference to the atmosphere: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 72, p. 795-840.
- Greeson, P. E., Ehlke, T. A., Irwin, G. A., Lium, B. W., and Slack, K. V., eds., 1977, Methods for collection and analysis of aquatic biological and microbiological samples: U.S. Geological Survey, Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, Book 5, Chapter A-4, 332 p.
- Hem, J. D., 1970, Study and interpretation of chemical characteristics of natural waters: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1473, 363 p.
- Hutchinson, G. E., 1957, A treatise on limnology, Volume 1 - Geography, physics and chemistry: New York, John Wiley, 1015 p.
- _____, 1967, A treatise on limnology, Volume 2 - Introduction to lake biology and the limnoplankton: New York, John Wiley, 1115 p.
- Jones, B. F., and Bowser, C. J., 1979, The mineralogy and related chemistry of lake sediments, Chapter 7, in Lerman, A., ed., Lakes; chemistry, geology and physics: New York, Springer-Verlag, p. 179-235.
- Kalff, J., and Knoechel, R., 1978, Phytoplankton and their dynamics in oligotrophic and eutrophic lakes: Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, v. 9, p. 475-495.
- Kelts, K., and Hsu, K. J., 1979, Freshwater carbonate sedimentation, in Lerman, A., ed., Lakes; chemistry, geology, and physics: New York, Springer-Verlag, p. 295-323.
- Likens, G. E., 1975, Primary production of inland aquatic ecosystems, in Lieth, H., and Whittaker, R. H., eds., Primary production of the biosphere: New York, Springer Verlag, p. 185-202.
- _____, 1976, Acid precipitation: Chemical Engineering News, v. 22, p. 29-44.
- Livingstone, D. A., 1963, Chemical composition of rivers and lakes, Chapter G, Data of Geochemistry, 6th Edition: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 440-G, 64 p.
- Mortimer, C. H., 1941, The exchange of dissolved substances between mud and water in lakes 1: Journal of Ecology, v. 29, p. 280-329.
- _____, 1942, The exchange of dissolved substances between mud and water in lakes 2: Journal of Ecology, v. 30, p. 147-201.
- Plummer, L. N., Jones, B. F., and Trueschell, A. H., 1976, WATEQF, a FORTRAN IV version of WATEQ, a computer program for calculating chemical equilibrium of natural waters: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigation 76-13, 61 p.
- Rigler, F. H., 1973, A dynamic view of the phosphorus cycle in lakes, in Griffith, E., and others, eds., Environmental phosphorus handbook: New York, John Wiley, p. 539-572.
- Schindler, D. W., 1977, Evolution of phosphorus limitation in lakes: Science, v. 195, p. 260-262.
- Seyb, Les, and Randolph, Karen, 1977, North American Project--a study of U.S. water bodies: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Ecological Research Series, EPA-600/3-77-086, 537 p.
- Shoaf, W. T., and Lium, B. W., 1977, The quantitative determination of chlorophylls *a* and *b* from freshwater algae without interference from degradation products: U.S. Geological Survey Journal of Research, v. 5, p. 263-264.

- Siegel, D. I., and Winter, T. C., 1980, Hydrologic setting of Williams Lake, Hubbard County, Minnesota: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 80-403, 56 p.
- Skougstad, M. W., Fishman, M. J., Friedman, L. C., Erdmann, D. E., and Duncan, S. S., (eds.), 1979, Methods for determination of inorganic substances in water and fluvial sediments: U.S. Geological Survey, Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, Book 5, Chapter A-1, 626 p.
- Tarapchak, S. T., 1973, Studies on phytoplankton distribution and indicators of trophic state in Minnesota lakes: University of Minnesota, Ph.D. dissertation, 223 p.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1979, Lake restoration: Proceedings of a National Conference, August 1978, Minneapolis, Minnesota, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-440/5-79-001, 254 p.
- Wetzel, R. G., 1975, Limnology: Philadelphia, W. B. Saunders, 743 p.
- Winter, T. C., 1980, Survey of errors for estimating water and chemical balances of lakes and reservoirs: Proceedings of Symposium on Surface-Water Impoundments, American Society of Civil Engineers, P. 224-233.
- _____, 1981, Uncertainties in estimating the water balance of lakes: Water Resources Bulletin, v. 17, no. 1, p. 82-115.
- Wood, W. W., 1976, Guidelines for collection and field analysis of ground-water samples for selected unstable constituents: U.S. Geological Survey, Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, Book 1, Chapter D2, 24 p.